

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,650	09/17/2003	Seong Fong Chen	2877-4031	8495	
27123	7590 03/30/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			PENG, KUO LIANG		
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712	1712	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/20/2004	DATE MAILED, 02/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				*/		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•		
Office Action Summary		10/666,650	CHEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kuo-Liang Peng	1712			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE INSTRUMENT OF THE MAILING DATE IN SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/17/	<u>'06 Amendment</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 <sub>.</sub> O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-41 is/are pending in the aday of the above claim(s) 1-3 and 16-41 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 4-10 and 12-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers	·				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[] a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)		·			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice 1 Notice 2) Inform	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, ,			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The Applicants' amendment filed on January 17, 2006 was received. Claims
 1-3 and 16-41 are withdrawn. Claim 4 is amended. Claim 11 is deleted. Now,
 Claims 4-10 and 12-15 are pending for consideration.

2. Claim rejection(s) under 35 USC 102 and 103 in the previous Office Action (Paper No. 091705) is/are removed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-8, 10 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Podell (US 4 575 476) in view of Teoh (WO 0232475).

Podell discloses a glove coated with a layer comprising a hydrogel layer and a silicone and cationic surfactants such as N-cetyl pyridinium chloride. The glove can be made of natural or synthetic rubbers. The glove is powder-free. (col. 1, lines

Art Unit: 1712

12-19, col. 2, line 54 to col. 4, line 2 and Examples) Podell recognizes the use an ammonium phosphate surfactant. (Table 7) Podell is silent on the use of the specific alkyl ammonium phosphate set forth in the present invention. However, Teoh teaches the use of a surfactant composition comprising silicone, Darvan L (an alkyl ammonium phosphate) and cetyl pyridinium chloride on a layer of hydrogel. (Example 1) The motivation of using the surfactant composition comprising Darvan L is to afford a hydrogel-coated glove with excellent anti-tack properties. In light of the benefit mentioned, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate Darvan L to Podell's surfactant composition with expected success. Especially, Teoh is in the same field as that of Podell's endeavor.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Podell in view of Teoh as applied to Claims 4-8, 10 and 12-15 above, and further in view of Yeh (US 6 347 408).

The difference between Podell in view of Teoh and the present invention is the requirement of the specific polymer blend set forth in the instant claim.

Yeh teaches a glove containing an elastomeric layer made of a blend containing at least two of natural rubber, nitrile, polyisoprene, etc. (col. 2, lines 15-

21). The motivation of using the blend is to afford a glove with various properties. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize a blend of at least two of natural rubber, nitrile, polyisoprene, etc. Especially, Yeh is in the same field as that of Podell's endeavor.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang Peng whose telephone number is (571) 272-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

Art Unit: 1712

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

klp

March 27, 2006

Kuo-Liang Peng

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712